

# HACC Assessment Record

---

Department/Campus: College-wide

Unit: AA and SA

<b>Assessment Start Date:</b>	February 13, 2013	
<b>Goal:</b> <i>(Campus, department or unit)</i>	SP Goal 1: Teaching and Learning Excellence	
<b>Objective:</b> <i>(Measurable)</i>	Objective 3: Improve degree completion utilizing best practices from those colleges involved in the national completion agenda initiative.  Task: Improve campus class schedules: target fill rates, implement CollegeNet.	
<b>Sources of Evidence to be used:</b> <i>(Measures that would point to achievement of goal/objective. Examples: databases, focus group feedback, surveys. See p. 10 of Guide.)</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fall 2012 Student Satisfaction Survey</li> <li>Discussions of fill rates on each campus</li> <li>Student Focus Groups (April 2013)</li> <li>College wide discussion of fill rates</li> </ul>	
<b>Type of Assessment :</b>	Performance-Evaluating	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Information– Gathering</b> <i>(needs assessments, inventories, establishing baselines)</i></li> <li><b>Performance–Evaluating</b> <i>(How well are we doing? Have we improved?)</i></li> </ul>		
<b>IF ASSESSMENT IS PERFORMANCE-EVALUATING:</b>		
<p>*Benchmarks and Performance Targets are critical when evaluating performance. They may or may not be as critical when gathering information, although a rubric may be developed to organize categories under consideration.</p>	<b>Benchmarks or Standards</b> (See pp. 11 – 13 of Guide)	<b>Performance Target</b> (See pp. 13 – 17 of Guide)
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Student dissatisfaction rates regarding class availability (from the Student Satisfaction Survey)</li> <li>2) Target fill rates</li> <li>3) Intelligent and intentional course scheduling to ensure the availability of required courses</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Student dissatisfaction rate with class availability will be reduced by 3% in the Fall 2013 Student Satisfaction Survey</li> <li>2) Targeted fill rate of 85% unless classes are required for graduation</li> <li>3) Class scheduling for academic programs supports completion within 24 months.</li> </ol>
<b>Findings:</b> <i>(What did we learn from</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fill rates are currently being assessed on a regular basis</li> </ul>	

<p><i>this assessment? What did the evidence say?)</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ In general, HACC fill rates fall within an acceptable level; by discipline, some further improvement is required</li> <li>▪ It can be necessary to offer under-enrolled classes to support program completion for students</li> <li>▪ Academic affairs deans and directors are not always aware of the costs of running specific courses</li> <li>▪ Some campuses may be restricting courses too much in an effort to reduce faculty expenses</li> <li>▪ More specific data from students (courses, locations, times) could help better inform the decision making process to add courses/sections</li> <li>▪ Academic affairs deans and directors are now aware of the Argos enrollment tracking reports that assist in determining when new sections will be needed</li> </ul>
<p><b>Decision-Making:</b> <i>(What changes of practice are indicated? What budget priorities are established? What accomplishments should be celebrated and showcased?)</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ A coordinated effort is being made between Academic Affairs and HR to enlarge the adjunct pool to increase HACC's ability to add sections for fall 2013</li> <li>▪ Vice Pres of Student Affairs will prompt review of fill rates during the enrollment period by scheduling a college-wide meeting</li> <li>▪ Efforts will be made to add sections prior to each One Stop enrollment event to maximize enrollment</li> <li>▪ A standard for fill rates should be developed to determine if a course is meeting the minimum capacity and/or if an opportunity was missed to add sections when reviewed post audit</li> <li>▪ Fill rates should be evaluated at the campus level after the audit date of each semester and reviewed at Academic Council by the Provost</li> <li>▪ Campuses could compare days/times of offerings to ensure that there are sufficient scheduling options across the College for students</li> <li>▪ Program costing information should be gathered and shared to determine the "true cost" of adding a section beyond the instructional costs (project currently underway)</li> </ul>
<p><b>Assessment Closing Date:</b></p>	<p>June 27, 2013</p>
<p><b>Notes:</b></p>	