## **HACC** Assessment Record

## Department/Campus:

**Academic Affairs** 

| Assessment Start Date:  | Fall 2012  |   |
|---|--|---|
| Goal: (Campus, department or  | S. P. Goal I   |   |
| unit)   | Teaching and Learning Excellence   |   |
| Alignment to Strategic Plan:  | SP Objective (Goal 1 – Objective 3) Improve degree completion, utilizing best practices from those colleges involved in the national completion agenda initiative. Task: Review degree programs and transfer and articulation process  |   |
| Sources of Evidence to be used:   | State PATRAC.org competencies  |   |
| (Measures that would point to   | Current program requirements   |   |
| achievement of goal/objective.  | Course outlines w/stated outcomes  |   |
| Examples: databases, focus group  | <ul> <li>Interviews with department chairs and faculty with assigned</li> </ul>  |   |
| feedback, surveys. See p. 10 of   | leadership   |   |
| Guide.)   | Reviews by discipline-specific faculty groups  |   |
|   | Curriculum mapping of program outcomes to course   |   |
|   | outcomes in designated progr   |   |
| Type of Assessment:   | Information-Gathering Assessment   |   |
| <ul> <li>Information – Gathering (needs assessments, inventories, establishing baselines)</li> <li>Performance – Evaluating (How well are we doing? Have we improved?)</li> </ul>               |  |   |
| *D  | Book and a Standard  | D. f  |
| *Benchmarks and Performance   | Benchmark or Standard  | Performance Target                            |
| Targets are critical when evaluating performance. They may or may not be as critical when gathering information, although a rubric may be developed to organize categories under consideration. | <ul> <li>(See pp. 11 – 13 of Guide)</li> <li>External Standards         Benchmarks (PATRAC)</li> </ul>   | (See pp. 13 – 17 of Guide)  • 100% Compliance |
| Findings: (What did we learn from this assessment? What did the evidence say?)  | Only 2 academic programs met all state standards as they currently existed. The others required curricular changes.  |   |
| <b>Decision-Making:</b> (What changes of practice are indicated? What budget priorities are established? What accomplishments should be celebrated and showcased?)                              | <ul> <li>As needed, curricular changes were made to bring programs into compliance.</li> <li>All changes were processed through governance system.</li> <li>In 2012 the following programs were confirmed in compliance for transfer: Biology, Business, Chemistry, Communications, PreK-4 Education, Psychology</li> <li>In 2013, the following programs were confirmed in</li> </ul> |   |

|                          | compliance for transfer: Art, Criminal Justice, Environmental |  |
|--------------------------|---|--|
|                          | Science, Social Work, Theatre.                                |  |
| Assessment Closing Date: | May, 2013   |  |
| Notes:                   | Link to PATRAC Statewide Program-to-Program Agreements        |  |
|                          | <u>Webpage</u>  |  |
|                          | Art and Design Program  |  |
|                          | Business Program  |  |
|                          | <u>Criminal Justice Program</u>                               |  |
|                          | Education Program   |  |
|                          | Math Program  |  |
|                          | Psychology Program  |  |
|                          | Theatre Program   |  |
|                          |   |  |